. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,627	FALCONER, DARCY RAYMOND
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James M. Hewitt	3679
All Participants:	Status of Application	l:
(1) <u>James M. Hewitt</u> .	(3)	·
(2) <u>Pete Sommer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2005	Time: <u>3:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 11, 18-19, 21 and 28-29		•
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession. 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
TEMIL		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	plicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner agreed to amend claims 11, 18, 21 and 28 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment and to cancel claims 19 and 29 in order to place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that he would file a Rule 312 Amendment after receipt of the Notice of Allowability in order to obviate various informalities in the specification.